IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ORANGEBURG DIVISION

OKANOI	EDUKO DI VISION
Laura Ringer,)
Plaintiff,) C/A No. 5:18-cv-3586-TMC
v.	ORDER
Andrew M. Saul, ¹)
Commissioner of Social Security,	
Defendant.)

On October 3, 2019, Plaintiff Laura Ringer ("Ringer") filed a Motion for Attorney's Fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412, on the bases that she was the prevailing party and that the Commissioner's decision was not supported by substantial evidence. (ECF No. 23). On October 9, 2019, Defendant responded stating that he does not object to Plaintiff's motion. (ECF No. 24).

Under the EAJA, a court shall award attorney's fees to a prevailing party² in certain civil actions against the United States unless it finds that the government's position was substantially justified or that special circumstances make an award unjust. 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A). The district courts have discretion to determine a reasonable fee award and whether that award should be made in excess of the statutory cap. *Pierce v. Underwood*, 487 U.S. 552 (1988); *May v. Sullivan*, 936 F.2d 176, 177 (4th Cir. 1991). The district courts also have broad discretion to set the attorney fee amount. In determining the fee award, "[e]xorbitant, unfounded, or procedurally defective fee applications . . . are matters that the district court can recognize and discount." *Hyatt v. North*

¹ Error! Main Document Only.On June 17, 2019, Andrew M. Saul became the Commissioner of Social Security. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d), he is automatically substituted for Defendant Nancy A. Berryhill who was the Acting Commissioner of Social Security when this action was filed.

² A party who wins a remand pursuant to sentence four of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), is a prevailing party for EAJA purposes. *See Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 300–302 (1993). The remand in this case was made pursuant to sentence four.

Carolina Dep't of Human Res., 315 F.3d 239, 254 (4th Cir. 2002) (citing Comm'r v. Jean, 496

U.S. 154, 163 (1990)). Additionally, the court should not only consider the "position taken by the

United States in the civil action," but also the "action or failure to act by the agency upon which

the civil action is based." 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(2)(D), as amended by P.L. 99-80, § 2(c)(2)(B).

The Plaintiff has asked for the payment of attorney's fees in the amount of \$3,266.25,

expenses in the amount of \$22.35. (ECF No. 23 at 2). Defendant responded, stating that he did not

object to the requested amount of attorney's fees or expenses. (ECF No. 24). Despite there being

no objections, the court is obligated under the EAJA to determine if the fee is proper. See Design

& Prod., Inc. v. United States, 21 Cl. Ct. 145, 152 (1990) (holding that under the EAJA, "it is the

court's responsibility to independently assess the appropriateness and measure of attorney's fees

to be awarded in a particular case, whether or not an amount is offered as representing the

agreement of the parties in the form of a proposed stipulation."). Applying the above standard to

the facts of this case, the court concludes that the Commissioner's position was not substantially

justified. Furthermore, after a thorough review of the record, the court finds that the requested fees

and expenses are appropriate. Accordingly, the court **GRANTS** the Motion for Attorney's Fees

(ECF No. 23) as and orders that the Plaintiff be awarded the \$3,266.25 in attorney's fees and

\$22.35 in expenses, for a total award of \$3,288.60.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Timothy M. Cain
United States District Judge

October 15, 2019 Anderson, South Carolina

3 The court notes that the fees

³ The court notes that the fees must be paid to Plaintiff. *See Astrue v. Ratliff*, 560 U.S. 586 (2010) (holding that the plain text of the EAJA requires that attorney's fees be awarded to the litigant, thus subjecting the EAJA fees to offset of any pre-existing federal debts); *see also Stephens v. Astrue*, 565 F.3d 131, 139 (4th Cir. 2009) (holding the same).

2